



Genetic Variability Studies in Early Segregating Generation of Cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp subsp *unguiculata*]

Ritama Kundu ^{a++}, Sanjeev K. Deshpande ^{a#*}, B. R. Patil ^{a#}
and Shamarao Jahagirdar ^{b#}

^a Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka, India.

^b Department of Plant Pathology, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2025/v47i13213>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/127514>

Original Research Article

Received: 09/10/2024

Accepted: 11/12/2024

Published: 13/01/2025

ABSTRACT

Aims: In a self-pollinated crop like cowpea, most breeding approaches predominantly use different techniques of handling the segregating generations. It is important to select and increase the frequency of the desirable genotypes for efficient management of segregating generations and gradual improvement of important characters in the material. For the selection to be efficient, a complete knowledge of the features of the segregating material in terms of genetic variability with regard to important characters is crucial. So the objective of the study was to comprehend the genetic variability in segregating generations and to estimate the percentage of transgressive segregants.

⁺⁺ M.Sc (Agriculture);

[#] Professor;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: sanjeevgpb@gmail.com;

Cite as: Kundu, Ritama, Sanjeev K. Deshpande, B. R. Patil, and Shamarao Jahagirdar. 2025. "Genetic Variability Studies in Early Segregating Generation of Cowpea [*Vigna Unguiculata* (L.) Walp Subsp *Unguiculata*]". *Journal of Experimental Agriculture International* 47 (1):151-60. <https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2025/v47i13213>.

Study Design: The experiment was laid out in Augmented design II during *kharif*, 2023.

Place and Duration of Study: The current experiment was undergone at the Botany garden, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka to evaluate 36 F₄ families and six checks during *kharif* 2023.

Methodology: Observations were taken for ten yield and yield related traits viz., total yield (kg/ha), single plant yield (g), number of pods per plant, test weight (g), number of seeds per pod, pod length (cm), number of clusters per plant, number of pods per cluster, days to fifty per cent flowering and days to maturity. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for yield and yield related traits of 36 F₄ families of diverse crosses and pedigree along with checks in grain type cowpea was carried out in augmented design II as proposed by Federer and Raghavarao, 1975. Different genetic parameters were estimated to characterize the material for nature and amount of genetic variability. Percentage of transgressive segregants was calculated using the mean \pm 1 SD for all characters.

Results: The variances between genotypes were shown to be highly significant among all the characters under study. All traits exhibited high heritability (>60%) except the trait number of pods per cluster. High heritability coupled with high genetic advance (>20%) was obtained in traits like total yield (kg/ha) (71.00% and 43.59%), single plant yield (g) (76.18% and 35.01%), number of pods per plant (71.98% and 33.49%), pod length (cm) (75.99% and 22.00%) and number of clusters per plant (64.90% and 30.02%), indicating the possibility of effective selection for these characters. Highest percentage of transgressive segregants was revealed for the traits total yield (19.44%) and days to fifty per cent flowering (19.44%).

Conclusion: Sufficient amount of genetic variability present among the F₄ families ensures profound scope of selection which in turn indicates possibility of improvement in the population.

Keywords: Cowpea; genetic variability; heritability; genetic advance.

1. INTRODUCTION

Cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp subsp *unguiculata*] is one of the most ancient legumes majorly cultivated in tropical and sub-tropical regions of the world. It belongs to the group of dicotyledons, the order Fabales, family Fabaceae, subfamily Faboideae, tribe Phaseoleae, subtribe Phaseolinae and genus *Vigna* (Timko et al., 2007; Maxted et al. 2004) divided the genus *Vigna* into six sections, viz., *Vigna*, *Comosae*, *Macrodontae*, *Reticulatae*, *Liebrechtsia*, and *Catiang*. The section *Catiang* contains species *unguiculata*. Cowpea, *Vigna unguiculata* subspecies *unguiculata* is used as a grain type as well as fodder type. Owing to this early introduction in Neolithic period, India possesses exceptional diversity in the forms of both wild cowpea and cultivated cowpea. Therefore, India is regarded as the secondary centre of diversity for the crop (Deshpande et al., 2018).

On a global scale, cowpea is cultivated in around 15.20 mha of area along with a production of 9.78 mt and a productivity of 643.00 kg ha⁻¹ (Anon., 2022-23). In India cowpea is cultivated in total of 4.00 mha area producing 2.70 mt leading to a productivity of 567.00 kg ha⁻¹ (Anon., 2019-20). The productivity in the country relatively low which is due to several reasons. Among the cowpea growers of the state, there is a tendency of cultivating local landraces and lack of adoption

of high yielding improved varieties. This necessitates development of early maturing and high yielding cowpea varieties with farmers' interest in mind.

Careful handling of segregating generations generated from well-planned crosses is the most common method of improving a self-pollinating crop like cowpea. Understanding the genetic variability available in the early segregating generations helps a breeder to select the desirable genotypes. Also, based on the mean performance of the population, it is possible to estimate the percentage of transgressive segregants to understand the frequency of the superior desirable families with respect to various characters. Considering this hypothesis, numerous studies on genetic variability in different segregating generations of cowpea has been undertaken by many breeders (Mary and Gopalan, 2006; Bhadru and Navale, 2012; Khan et al., 2013; Kumar et al., 2017; Lokesh and Murthy, 2017; Meenatchi et al., 2019 and Gaiwal et al., 2022). With this background, the current study was undertaken with the objective to estimate the genetic variability in the F₄ generation of diverse crosses in grain cowpea.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out using augmented design-II during *kharif* 2023 for

evaluation of F_4 families of various crosses. Each of the families along with checks and promising stabilised lines were raised in three rows of three-meter length with row spacing of 45 cm and plant to plant spacing of 15 cm. All the recommended agronomic and plant protection practices were followed during cropping period to ensure optimal plant growth. Observations were taken for ten yield and yield related traits viz., total yield (kg/ha), single plant yield (g), number of pods per plant, test weight (g), number of seeds per pod, pod length (cm), number of clusters per plant, number of pods per cluster, days to fifty per cent flowering and days to maturity.

2.1 Genetic Variability Study

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for yield and yield related traits of early segregating generations of diverse crosses and pedigree along with checks in grain type cowpea was carried out in augmented design II as proposed by (Federer and Raghavarao, 1975). Different genetic variability parameter viz., mean, variance suggested by Cochran (1957), phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV), genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) as suggested by Burton and De Vane (1953), heritability (h^2_{bs}) as suggested by (Hanson et al., 1956) and (Lush, 1949), and genetic advance as suggested by (Johnson et al., 1955) for all the characters were calculated by following standard procedures.

2.2 Transgressive Segregants

Based on the mean *per se* performance of F_4 families of various crosses and checks, percentage of transgressive segregants were calculated for yield and yield attributing traits. The F_4 means and standard deviations for all traits were calculated. Then the numbers of genotypes exceeding or subceeding (for maturity traits only) the value of F_4 mean + 1SD or F_4 mean -1SD (for maturity traits only) were worked out for each trait. This helped to find out the percentage of transgressive segregants for all yield and yield attributing traits among F_4 families and checks.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Analysis of Variance for Yield and Yield Attributing Traits in F_4 Families of Various Crosses and Checks

From the ANOVA, the variances between genotypes were shown to be highly significant

among all the characters under study. Also the variances between F_4 families were highly significant suggesting ample scope of selection in all the characters. This observation indicated the presence of ample amount of genetic variability among the entries (Table 1). These findings align with the results obtained by multiple works (Araméndiz-Tatis et al., 2018 and Owusu et al., 2021).

3.2 Estimation of Genetic Variability Parameters for Yield and Yield Attributing Traits in F_4 Families of Various Crosses and Checks

The parameters like mean, range, PCV, GCV, Heritability, GA and GAM for each yield and yield related traits has been presented in Table 2. The PCV and GCV were high (>20%) for total yield (kg/ha). This indicated that the F_4 families are significantly differs from one another with respect to their total yield providing a chance to identify high yielding families. Similar results were obtained by many scientists (Ugale et al., 2020 and Abha et al., 2024) in various materials of grain cowpea. High PCV along with moderate GCV was evident in characters like single plant yield (g), number of pods per plant, number of clusters per plant and number of pods per cluster. Moderate PCV and GCV was obtained in traits like test weight (g), number of seeds per pod and pod length (cm). Therefore, these characters also provide ample amount of variability to practice selection. Similar estimates were obtained by Chaudhary et al., (2020) and Phyu et al., (2023) for single plant yield (g) and number of pods per plant, by Kumar et al., (2015) and Sharma et al., (2017) for number of clusters per plant, by Kavyashree et al., (2023) for test weight (g) and by Bamji Rukhsar et al., (2020) and Parmar et al., (2024) for number of seeds per pod and pod length (cm). The characters days to fifty per cent flowering and days to maturity exhibited low estimates of both PCV and GCV (<10%) which was parallel with the findings of (Bamji Rukhsar et al., 2020). This suggested that compared to other yield related characters, maturity traits exhibited less amount of variability.

All traits exhibited high heritability (>60%) except the trait number of pods per cluster. High heritability coupled with high genetic advance was obtained in traits like total yield (kg/ha), single plant yield (g), number of pods per plant, pod length (cm) and number of clusters per plant, indicating the possibility of effective selection. A similar report was obtained from the studies of

Table 1. Analysis of Variance for yield and yield attributing traits among F₄ families of diverse crosses and checks for yield and yield attributing traits in grain cowpea during kharif-2023

Sources of Variation	Degrees of freedom	Total Yield (kg/ha)	Single Plant Yield (g)	Number of pods per plant	Test weight (g)	Number of seeds per pod	Pod Length (cm)	Number of clusters per plant	Days to fifty per cent flowering	Days to maturity	Number of pods per cluster
Block (ignoring Genotypes)	3	121479.17	21.08*	10.29**	5.25**	1.05	1.62**	1.33*	29.08**	15.03*	0.21*
Genotypes (F ₄ Families + Checks)	41	305542.37**	18.05**	17.27**	4.80**	3.46**	6.17**	7.87**	17.94**	35.16**	0.21**
Checks (Released varieties + Advanced breeding lines)	5	946661.58**	64.35**	49.18**	26.18**	13.18**	29.12**	21.23**	20.57**	107.70**	0.27*
Genotypes vs F ₄ Families	36	216498.03**	11.62*	12.84**	1.83*	2.11**	2.98**	6.01**	17.58**	25.09**	0.20*
Blocks (eliminating Genotypes)	3	53375.16	3.66	5.07	0.90	0.38	0.17	0.76	3.39	1.94	0.01
Genotypes (ignoring blocks)	41	310525.59**	19.33**	17.65**	5.12**	3.51**	6.27**	7.91**	19.82**	36.12**	0.22**
F ₄ Families	35	222235.58**	13.43*	11.72**	1.84*	2.00**	2.92**	5.22**	13.79**	23.56**	0.18*
Checks vs F ₄ Families	1	219995.94*	0.62*	67.60**	14.68**	7.98**	9.33**	35.35**	227.21**	117.88**	1.32**
Error	15	64519.26	4.83	2.18	0.58	0.21	0.27	0.35	2.32	3.61	0.06

*Significant at 5% level of probability **Significant at 1% level of probability

Table 2. Per se mean, range and parameters of genetic variability for yield and yield attributing traits among F₄ families of diverse crosses and checks in grain cowpea during kharif-2023

Parameters	Range		Mean	Vp	Vg	Ve	h ² _{bs} (%)	GA	GAM (%)	PCV (%)	GCV (%)
	Minimum	Maximum									
Total Yield (kg/ha)	850.57	2480.94	1585.99	222235.55	157715.91	64519.65	71.00	690.19	43.59	29.77	25.08
Single Plant Yield (g)	11.38	26.44	17.07	14.60	11.12	3.48	76.18	6.00	35.01	22.31	19.47
Number of pods per plant	10.60	24.20	17.67	14.94	10.76	4.19	71.98	5.73	33.49	22.58	19.16
Test weight (g)	9.90	16.90	13.54	2.44	1.96	0.48	80.40	2.59	19.47	11.76	10.54
Number of seeds per pod	9.98	16.84	13.41	3.13	2.28	0.85	72.80	2.65	20.08	13.39	11.42
Pod Length (cm)	12.34	20.04	16.58	5.30	4.03	1.27	75.99	3.60	22.00	14.06	12.25
Number of clusters per plant	7.80	16.65	12.12	7.91	5.13	2.78	64.90	3.76	30.02	22.45	18.09
Days to fifty per cent flowering	44.00	59.00	53.18	14.29	12.42	1.88	86.88	6.77	12.48	6.98	6.50
Days to maturity	69.00	90.00	81.80	25.68	23.18	2.50	90.27	9.42	11.42	6.14	5.83
Number of pods per cluster	1.00	3.00	1.73	0.46	0.08	0.38	16.00	0.23	12.74	37.49	15.23

Table 3. Estimates of percentage of transgressive segregants for yield and yield attributing traits among the F₄ families of diverse crosses in grain cowpea

SI No	F ₄ families	Total Yield (kg/ha)	Single Plant Yield (g)	Number of pods per plant	Test weight (g)	Number of seeds per pod	Pod Length (cm)	Number of clusters per plant	Days to fifty per cent flowering	Days to maturity
1	EC 724160 × EC 724157	2041.53	21.20	24.20	15.80	13.00	17.58	11.40	47.00	78.00
2	EC 724160 × EC 738126	968.77	11.89	17.20	15.80	13.20	16.16	7.80	44.00	71.00
3	EC 724160 × DC 15	1543.65	20.17	20.40	12.80	14.80	18.06	11.60	50.00	81.00
4	EC 724160 × DC 16	1367.28	19.78	20.60	12.40	14.60	16.22	15.60	49.00	79.00
5	EC-724160 × DC 17	2465.78	24.36	23.20	15.60	13.80	17.76	13.60	55.00	81.00
6	EC 724160 × GC 3	1233.90	15.14	19.00	14.00	12.80	16.68	11.20	49.00	79.00
7	EC 724157 × EC 738126	1407.04	15.40	13.80	13.78	13.00	16.04	10.40	52.00	79.00
8	EC 724157 × GC 3	2412.99	24.43	19.80	14.00	14.60	18.50	12.20	54.00	88.00
9	EC 724157 × DCS 47-1	1433.83	17.60	23.20	15.40	13.20	19.80	13.80	53.00	84.00
10	EC 724157 × DC 16	2367.93	19.57	20.40	14.60	13.00	18.36	14.40	54.00	82.00
11	EC 724157 × DC 17	1262.96	15.98	17.80	14.40	14.60	17.12	13.60	50.00	81.00
12	EC 724157 × RC 101	1611.78	17.64	22.20	14.60	12.60	19.14	11.80	51.00	82.00
13	EC 724157 × EC 724153	2059.83	17.75	20.20	14.80	13.30	16.10	8.80	46.00	69.00
14	EC 724153 × DC 16	1894.77	17.05	17.40	12.60	13.70	15.60	15.40	53.00	76.00
15	EC 724153 × DC 17	2480.94	26.44	19.20	16.60	16.84	20.04	13.00	58.00	88.00
16	EC 3180 × GC 3	850.57	12.76	10.60	12.80	11.54	14.42	10.00	56.00	87.00
17	EC 724153 × DCS 47-1	1690.49	18.50	21.00	13.40	12.62	15.98	14.40	52.00	86.00
18	EC 724153 × DC 15	1785.63	17.64	16.00	13.60	13.16	16.86	12.80	51.00	77.00
19	EC 724153 × GC 3	1657.33	20.98	16.20	14.00	12.84	15.36	11.60	51.00	82.00
20	EC 724153 × RC 101	1163.31	17.45	17.60	13.40	10.88	13.16	11.00	55.00	80.00
21	EC 738126 × DC 15	1486.07	17.20	17.60	14.20	13.52	16.70	8.40	50.00	75.00
22	EC 738126 × DC 16	1165.80	16.86	13.40	14.80	13.40	15.76	11.20	51.00	73.00
23	EC 738126 × DC 17	1464.69	16.03	22.40	14.20	15.30	18.10	10.40	53.00	87.00
24	EC 738126 × GC 3	871.09	11.38	14.80	13.00	11.08	14.24	8.20	59.00	90.00
25	EC 738126 × DCS 47-1	1845.36	16.99	22.60	13.40	14.56	17.66	10.40	46.00	78.00
26	EC 738126 × RC 101	1310.00	13.96	15.80	12.80	13.76	16.10	7.80	49.00	81.00
27	DC 15 × DCS 47-1	2193.58	17.08	20.00	14.20	14.60	19.20	15.80	57.00	87.00
28	DC 15 × RC 101	1138.67	11.53	12.80	10.00	10.56	13.72	10.40	59.00	81.00
29	DC 16 × RC 101	2476.05	21.80	18.60	10.80	14.20	18.30	12.40	57.00	83.00
30	DC 16 × Phule CP05040	1516.27	14.62	12.60	12.40	10.80	13.14	15.00	56.00	86.00
31	DC 16 × DC 17	1883.90	14.13	18.80	14.20	15.20	16.36	15.40	53.00	84.00
32	DC 16 × GC 3	1412.84	13.00	15.80	12.80	15.14	17.56	10.00	56.00	86.00
33	DC 15 × DCS 47-1 × Phule CP05040 × RC 101	1342.77	12.95	12.60	12.00	13.96	17.12	10.60	54.00	84.00
34	DCS 47-1 × RC 101	1525.38	14.71	15.20	12.90	12.76	16.28	11.00	53.00	80.00
35	EC 724160 × DCS 47-1	883.95	13.77	17.00	13.00	14.00	16.06	13.80	56.00	85.00
36	DCS 47-1 × DC 17	1514.44	15.73	17.40	13.60	15.60	15.80	13.20	55.00	80.00
	Checks									

SI No	F ₄ families	Total Yield (kg/ha)	Single Plant Yield (g)	Number of pods per plant	Test weight (g)	Number of seeds per pod	Pod Length (cm)	Number of clusters per plant	Days to fifty per cent flowering	Days to maturity
37	DC 15	1330.95	17.85	16.15	13.00	15.18	16.58	12.60	54.00	78.25
38	DCS 47-1	1441.84	18.08	16.60	13.51	13.58	15.23	15.60	58.50	87.25
39	RC 101	1030.88	13.16	12.75	10.16	13.49	12.34	10.50	53.50	77.00
40	GC 3	952.04	12.09	10.85	9.90	13.51	13.59	11.95	57.00	86.75
41	DC 17	2038.21	22.82	20.45	16.90	12.91	19.32	13.50	58.50	87.50
42	DC 16	2086.30	19.49	18.10	12.60	12.85	18.31	16.65	58.00	88.75
Mean		1585.99	17.07	17.67	13.54	13.52	16.58	12.12	53.18	81.80
Standard Deviation		463.94	3.62	3.44	1.56	1.32	1.84	2.30	3.75	4.88
Mean±1SD		2049.93	20.69	21.11	15.10	14.85	18.42	14.42	49.43	76.91
Number of families exceeding/subseeding		7	6	6	5	5	5	5	7	5
Mean±1SD										
Percentage of transgressive segregants (%)		19.44	16.67	16.67	13.89	13.89	13.89	13.89	19.44	13.89

(Bamji Rukhsar et al., 2020) for total yield (kg/ha) and pod length (cm), (Phyu et al., 2023) for single plant yield (g), number of pods per plant and number of clusters per plant. High broad sense heritability coupled with moderate GAM was evident in the characters test weight (g), number of seeds per pod, days to fifty per cent flowering and days to maturity. For test weight (g), Singh et al., (2022) and Gaiwal et al., (2022) reported a similar estimate. (Khanpara et al., 2015 and Khandait et al., 2016) reported parallel findings for number of seeds per pod. For maturity traits the study of (Bamji Rukhsar et al., 2020) provided a similar conclusion.

Therefore, characters like total yield (kg/ha), single plant yield (g), number of pods per plant, number of clusters per plant, test weight (g), number of seeds per pod and pod length (cm) exhibited high heritability broad sense along with high to moderate estimates of PCV, GCV and GAM. So these characters can be confidently targeted for improvement through selection in the material under study.

3.3 Estimation of Percentage of Transgressive Segregants for Yield and Yield Attributing Traits

The percentage of transgressive segregants was highest for the traits total yield (19.44%) and days to fifty per cent flowering (19.44%) followed by single plant yield (16.67%) and number of pods per plant (16.67%). For all other characters, test weight, number of seeds per pod, pod length, number of clusters per plant and days to maturity, 13.89% of transgressive segregants was reported (Table 3).

A high frequency of transgressive segregants with respect to total yield indicates presence of high yielding families which can be selected and advanced in the further generations for stabilization. Even though the population was shown to be less variable with respect to maturity traits, the high frequency of transgressive segregants with respect to days to fifty per cent flowering suggests the number of earlier flowering genotypes is higher and therefore can be selected for (Joshi et al., 2024; Shukla et al., 2024).

4. CONCLUSION

From the current experiment, it can be concluded that a wide range of variability exists among the families of various crosses in F₄ generation. This

variability can be effectively utilized for selecting desirable genotypes regarding several important economic traits like total yield (kg/ha), single plant yield (g), number of pods per plant, number of clusters per plant, test weight (g), number of seeds per pod and pod length (cm). The estimates of transgressive segregants further characterises the material for the frequency of genotypes exhibiting excellent performance for each yield and yield attributing traits. It is evident from the study that the material is highly variable for most of the characters under consideration and it contains ample amount of superior genotypes that can be selected to advance in the next generations and also can be used as excellent source of different yield and yield attributing traits.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Authors hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Abha, R., & Meena, M. L. (2024). Studies on genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) for green pod yield and its component. *Journal of Experimental Agriculture International*, 46(7), 1005-1015.
- Anonymous. (2019-2020). Annual report on area, production, productivity and prices of agricultural crops in Karnataka. Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Government of Karnataka, 11, 38-44.
- Anonymous. (2022-2023). Crop-wise pulses global scenario: 2022. Directorate of Pulse Development, Government of India, p. 07.
- Araméndiz-Tatis, H., Cardona-Ayala, C., Espitia-Camacho, M., Arrieta-Puche, D., & Barba, A. G. (2018). Estimation of genetic parameters in white seed cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp). *Australian Journal of Crop Science*, 12(6), 1016-1022.
- Bamji Rukhsar, C., Pithia, M. S., Lata Raval, & Patel, Krina N. (2020). Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance studies in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.).

- The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 9(5), 374-376.
- Bhadru, D., & Navale, P. A. (2012). Genetic variability parameters in F2 and F3 populations of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.). *Legume Research*, 35(1), 75-77.
- Burton, G. W., & Devane, D. E. (1953). Estimating heritability in tall fescue (*Festuca arundinacea*) from replicated clonal material. *Agronomy Journal*, 45(10), 478-481.
- Chaudhary, A. R., Solanki, S. D., Rahevar, P. M., & Patel, D. A. (2020). Genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis for yield and its attributing traits in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp) accessions. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 9(2), 1281-1293.
- Cochran, W. G. (1957). Analysis of covariance: its nature and uses. *Biometrics*, 13(3), 261-281.
- Deshpande, S. K., Mani, B. R., Desai, S. A., Nagarathna, T. K., & Hanchinal, R. R. (2018). Review on characterization of cowpea germplasm in terms of distinctness, uniformity, stability and novelty for morphological, quality and yield attributing parameters. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 7(6), 1124-1139. [https://doi.org/\[if applicable\]](https://doi.org/[if applicable])
- Federer, W. T., & Raghavarao, D. (1975). On augmented designs. *Biometrics*, 31(1), 29-35.
- Gaiwal, K. B., Toprope, V. N., Patil, S. S., Chaudhari, B. D., & Dalvi, V. T. (2022). Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance studies in F2 & F3 populations of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp). *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 11(12), 1853-1856.
- Hanson, C. H., Robinson, H. F., & Comstock, R. E. (1956). Biometrical studies of yield in segregating populations of Korean lespedeza 1. *Agronomy Journal*, 48(6), 268-272.
- Johnson, H. W., Robinson, H. F., & Comstock, R. E. (1955). Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybeans. *Agronomy Journal*, 47(7), 314-318.
- Joshi, A. M., Patel, P. M., Dharaviya, R. G., & Patel, J. K. (2024). Response of summer forage cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) to spacing and seed rate. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*, 36(1), 64-71. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i14330>
- Kavyashree, N. M., Deshpande, S. K., Patil, B. R., Hegde, M. G., & Jahagirdar, S. (2023). Genetic variability and character association studies on yield and yield attributing traits in grain type cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.). *International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management*, 14(1), 012-018.
- Khan, H., Vishwanatha, K. P., & Sowmya, H. C. (2013). Genetic variability and association studies in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.). *Journal of Food Legumes*, 26(3-4), 42-45.
- Khandait, R., Jain, P. K., Prajapati, S., & Solanki, P. (2016). Genetic variability studies of diverse cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) genotypes. *Journal of Functional and Environmental Botany*, 6(2), 114-122.
- Khanpara, S. V., Jivani, L. L., Vachhani, J. H., & Kachhadia, V. H. (2015). Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance studies in vegetable cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, 7(2), 408-413.
- Kumar, N. M., Gangaprasad, S., & Sunil, M. G. (2015). Genetic variability and heritability studies in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp). *Research Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 6(4), 763-766.
- Kumar, S., Sridhar, K., Kumar, V., & Kulkarni, N. S. (2017). Estimation of genetic variability for dual purpose in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp). *Plant Archive*, 17(2), 887-891.
- Lokesh, G. Y., & Murthy, N. (2017). Genetic variability for yield and yield component traits in advanced F2 and F3 generations of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp). *International Journal of Pure and Applied Bioscience*, 5(5), 1156-1160.
- Lush, J. L. (1949). Heritability of quantitative characters in farm animals. *Proceedings 8th International Congress of Genetics*, 1948-1949, Sweden, 356-375.
- Mary, S. S., & Gopalan, A. (2006). Dissection of genetic attributes among yield traits of fodder cowpea in F3 and F4. *Journal of Applied Sciences Research*, 2(10), 805-808.
- Maxted, N., Mabuza-Diamini, P., Moss, H., Padulosi, S., Jarvis, A., & Guarino, L. (2004). An ecogeographic study African *Vigna*. [Publisher/DOI/Link if applicable]

- Meenatchi, T., Thangaraj, K., Gnanamalar, R. P., & Pushpam, K. (2019). Genetic variability and heritability study on yield and its component traits in segregating population of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, 10(2), 736-741.
- Owusu, E. Y., Karikari, B., Kusi, F., Haruna, M., Amoah, R. A., Attamah, P., Adazebra, G., Sie, E. K., & Issahaku, M. (2021). Genetic variability, heritability and correlation analysis among maturity and yield traits in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp) in Northern Ghana. *Heliyon*, 7(9), e07890.
- Parmar, F. R., Patel, A. G., & Dharaviya, R. G. (2024). Response of summer cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) to inorganic fertilizers and foliar application of bio-enhancers. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*, 36(5), 808-820.
- Phyu, P. O., Panchta, R., Nimbai, S., Kharor, N., Arya, S., Arya, R. K., & Langaya, S. (2023). Variability, character association and genetic divergence studies in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp) genotypes. *Range Management and Agroforestry*, 44(2), 288-297.
- Sharma, M., Sharma, P. P., Sharma, H., & Meghwal, D. R. (2017). Genetic variability in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) germplasm lines. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 6(4), 1384-1387.
- Shukla, S., Topno, S. E., & Bahadur, V. (2024). Evaluation of different genotypes of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) for growth, yield & quality under Prayagraj agro-climatic condition. *Journal of Experimental Agriculture International*, 46(10), 374-380. <https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2024/v46i102959>
- Singh, A., Deepanshu, & Bahadur, V. (2022). Genetic variability, heritability, correlation studies and path coefficient in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 11(5), 1318-1324.
- Timko, M. P., Ehlers, J. D., & Roberts, P. A. (2007). Cowpea. In C. Kole (Ed.), *Pulses, sugar and tuber crops* (pp. 49-67). Springer Berlin Heidelberg. [https://doi.org/\[if applicable\]](https://doi.org/[if applicable])
- Ugale, P. N., Wankhade, M. P., & Bagade, A. B. (2020). Genetic variability studies in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 9(6), 476-479.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2025): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/127514>